



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/674,408	10/01/2003	Juergen Roemisch	6478.1446-01	5124	
22852	7590 03/25/2005		EXAMINER		
FINNEGAN,	HENDERSON, FAR	LIU, SAMUEL W			
LLP 901 NEW YO	RK AVENUE, NW		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTON, DC 20001-4413			1653		

DATE MAILED: 03/25/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	<u> </u>								
3 ,		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)	Y				
Office Addison Comments		10/674,4	08	ROEMISCH ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examine	r	Art Unit					
		Samuel V		1653					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply									
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC nsions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) period for reply is specified above, the maximum stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply we reply received by the Office later than three months afted patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. f 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no evinication. days, a reply within the stautory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the app	vent, however, may a reply be tim tutory minimum of thirty (30) day vill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from olication to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communi D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ication.				
Status									
1)[🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed	l on 01 October 010)3.						
′		o)⊠ This action is r							
3)	Since this application is in condition for	•		secution as to the meri	its is				
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposit	ion of Claims								
4) 🔀	Claim(s) 9-16 is/are pending in the ap	polication							
٠,٠	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>none</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8)⊠	Claim(s) <u>9-16</u> are subject to restriction	n and/or election re	quirement.						
Applicati	ion Papers			·					
9)	The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.							
•	10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner. N	ote the attached Office	Action or form PTO-15	i 2.				
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119			·					
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	or foreian priority un	der 35 U.S.C. & 119(a))-(d) or (f)					
_	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	ar randigii pilotiky uit	aor ou o.c.o. 3 770(a)	(4) 51 (1).					
,-	1.☐ Certified copies of the priority d	ocuments have bee	en received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority d			on No					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of				e				
٠	application from the Internation			_					
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action	for a list of the cert	ified copies not receive	ed.					
Attachmen	tie)								
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date									
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or P r No(s)/Mail Date	TO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)					

DETAILED ACTION

Preliminary amendment filed 1 October 2003, which cancels claims 1-8 and adds claims 9-16 has been entered. The following Office action is applicable to the pending claims 9-16.

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 9 and 16, drawn to a pharmaceutical composition or a reagent comprising a protein which is (i) the pure form of the protease that activate blood clotting factor VII, or (ii) the pure from of a proenzyme of the said protease, or a mixture of (i) and (ii), are classified in class 530, subclasses 350, class 435, subclasses 13 and 195, and class 424, subclass 278.1.
- II. Claims 10-13, drawn to a method of treating a disease state associated with a thrombic disorder comprising administering to a subject the pharmaceutical composition, are classified in class 514, subclass 2.
- III. Claim 14, drawn to a method of assisting wound healing comprising administering to a subject the pharmaceutical composition, are classified in class 514, subclass 2.
- IV. Claim 15, drawn to a method of coating article that is implanted into the body using the pharmaceutical composition, are classified in class 424, subclass 411.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Invention I is relates to Invention II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different

Application/Control Number: 10/674,408

Art Unit: 1653

product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case, the protein can be utilized in a materially different process, i.e., producing an antibody that specifically and binds to the protein, for example.

Invention I is relates to Invention III are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case, the protein can be utilized in a materially different process, i.e., producing an antibody that specifically and binds to the protein, for example.

Inventions II-IV are directed to different and/or distinct methods. Although there are no provisions under the section for "Relationship of Invention" in MPEP 806.05 for inventive groups that are directed to different methods, restriction is deemed to be proper between the methods of Inventions II, III and IV since they constitute patentably distinct inventions comprising methodologies, starting material, objectives, technical considerations, ingredients, endpoint or/and treatment outcome. Therefore, each method is patentably distinct.

Additional Election Under 35 USC 121

Regardless of the elected group, applicant is required under 35 US 121 (1) to elect a single disclosed protein to which claims are restricted, and (2) to list all claims readable thereon including those subsequently added.

If Group I is elected, applicant is required to elect one protein stabilizer from claims 9 and 16 because they are chemically distinct/different from one another, e.g., divalent ions is distinct from sugars.

Application/Control Number: 10/674,408

Art Unit: 1653

The response to the election requirement should also identify the claims readable thereon as directed to the elected invention.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and since they have acquired a separate status in the art shown by their different classification and/or divergent subject matter, and/or are separately and independently searched, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of MPEP § 821.04. Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable product will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103, and 112. Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of *In re Ochiai, In re Brouwer* and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain dependency on the

Art Unit: 1653

١.

product claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder.

Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Samuel Wei Liu, Ph.D. whose telephone number is (571) 272-0949. The examiner can normally be reached Monday-Friday 9:00 -5:30. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jon Weber, can be reached on (571) 272-09525. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-4242 for regular communication and (703) 305-3014 for Jan Cochan Carbon PM the after final communication.

Samuel W. Liu, Ph.D.

SwL

March 9, 2005

KAREN COCHRANE CARLSON, PH.D. PRIMARY EXAMINER